IDENTIFICAT Approved For Release 2002/11/07s: CIA-RDP83B100823R000700020033-3s on Agency-funded contractor personnel.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 1	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No No of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activ More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATI	ON OF	ACTIVITY	: Processe	s industrial	security	approvals
Δ	pproved	For Release	2002/11/07 _e : Ç	s industria1 A ₅ R 9283E00823	<u> 30</u> 0070002003	33 - 3°

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 2	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division,
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) f	or this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies	in force? Yes No) of this activity?
Do you fool the nativity is stil	1
Do you feel the activity is stil Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more ef If so, how?	it is still necessary? Yes / No
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	for the activity should be transferred another Office? YesNo
Can another component do the act More properly? Yes No	ivity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No I	ng questionable in the light of f so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes	ing pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION FOR THE LEASE 2002/11/93 e CIA RDP83B069237060700020033-3 at the Secret level.

	oslparios Dirria	sion/
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 3	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Divis	I & CB
EVALUATION:	DATE:	•
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activity? Date(s)?	
What is (are) our reference(s) 10		÷
		· · · ·
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes No	• •
		· -
Do you feel the activity is still	necessary? Yes No	
Do you reer the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel	it is still necessary? Yes Noticiently or less costly? Yes	No.
Can the activity be done more err	ciclently or less costly: les	
If so, how?		
		mad
Do you feel that responsibility f to another OS component or to a If so, where and why?	For the activity should be transfer another Office? Yes No	T GA
) }
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes No	Wity more efficiently? Less costl If so, which component and why?	r A ;
	or questionable in the light of	.*
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No If	f so, what?	
Natelgate: 163		·
		•
Can this activity be reduced in s significant effect on overall A	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? YesNo	
If so, how?		
Is there any activity not now be	ing pursued by OS that you feel is No If so, what?	more
important than this one: les_		•
	•	

IDENTIFICATION OF FACTIVITY 2002 TWO TESCHASR TO RESEASE TO PROTECT Approved For Release 2002 TWO TESCHASR TO RESEASE TO

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 4	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Divisio
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(a) (a.e.	
What is (are) our reference(s) for t	his activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in f Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	orce? Yes No No this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still ne Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficiently so, how?	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anoth If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred der Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No If	more efficiently? Less costly? so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything qu Watergate? Yes No If so,	estionable in the light of what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agency If so, how?	size or discontinued without security? YesNo
Is there any activity not now being puimportant than this one? Yes	irsued by OS that you feel is more to If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF A RELEASE 2002 Miles SGIA-RDP \$3B00 \$23R000700020033-3 for Agency-funded contractor personnel.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 5	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) f	or this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies	in force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff If so, how?	necessary? Yes No it is still necessary? Yes No iciently or less costly? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility f to another OS component or to a If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? YesNo
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	rity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? YesNo
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?
	•

IDENTIFICAT	`ION OF ACTIVITY Approved For Release	 Processes 	codeword acc	ess approvals	
~	Approved For Release	i 2002/11/07,:.Cl/	4-RDP83B00823R	000700020033-3	٠.
,	, .pp 1 - a	- Tur Agency	-runueu conc	ractor bersonne	ı.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 6	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still i Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes No No of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff If so, how?	necessary? Yes No it is still necessary? Yes No iciently or less costly? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility f to another OS component or to a If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? YesNo
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes No	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	g questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?
.1	•

:	
•	& CB
DATE:	
r this activity? Date(s)?	
and accivity. Data(3).	
	٠
n force? Yes No	
of this activity?	
	. *
necessary? YesNo	
it is still necessary? Yes No	
ictently of less costly? YesNo	
¥	
or the activity should be transferre	d
Tother Office: TesNO	
rity more officiently? I am	,
If so, which component and why?	
22 55, mizer component and wily.	
g questionable in the light of	
so, what:	
cope/size or discontinued without	
gency security? YesNo	
	٠
g pursued by OS that you feel is mo	re
No If so, what?	
	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Divis DATE: This activity? Date(s)? The force? Yes No of this activity? The activity? The activity should be transferred to the activity should be transferred to the Office? Yes No The activity should be transferred to the Office? Yes No The activity should be transferred to the Office? Yes No The activity should be transferred to the Office? Yes No The activity should be transferred to the Office? Yes No The activity should be transferred to the Office? Yes No The activity should be transferred to the Office? Yes No The activity should be transferred to the Office? Yes No The activity should be transferred to the Office? Yes No The activity should be transferred to the Office. Yes N

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Processes Visitor-No Escort badge requests. Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020033-3

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Processes industrial security contact Approved For Release 2002/11/07 CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020033-3

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 8	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
THATHATTON	I&CB
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in	n force? Yes No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still	necassary? Vos Alla
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i	it is still necessary? Yes No
Can the activity be done more effi	ciently or less costly? Yes No
If so, how?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	rity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Responsible component within the Office Approved For Release 2002/13/07: Clark Design of Processing GSA Guard cases under the Facility Access Program.

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No No Contact the activity is still necessary?		
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?	ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 9	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? YesNo	EVALUATION:	IGCE
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? YesNo		
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?	What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?		
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? YesNo	Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? YesNo		
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?	Can the activity be done more effi	t is still necessary? Vos.
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?	to another 05 component or to an	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?		
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?	Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?		
If so, how?	Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
If so, how?		
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?	Significant effect on overall Age	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
	Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Responsible component within the Office Approved For Release 2002/15/2014; RDP83B00823R00078002983 Maintenance personnel cases under the Facility Access Program.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 10	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes No
ar has considered and the constant of the cons	
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scoring significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Responsible component within the Office Approved For Release 2002/5407: GIA-ROP83B00823R000700020033 Force personnel cases under the Facility Access Program.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 11	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more effit on, how?	t is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Perponsible and Part of Security for processing Services personnel cases under the Facility Access Program.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 12	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient for, how?	t is still necessary? Vos :/ No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Responsible component within the Office Approved For Release 2002/5107; CIA-RPP83B40823R999790023033-30n individuals working in non-government buildings leased by the Agency.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 13	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes No No Of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel to Can the activity be done more efficient from the can how?	it is still necessary? Yes / No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY D	
Approved For Release 200211075 CA-RDP88800823R0007000200388	Office
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY 2002 1707 chal RDP88800823R00070b0200388	on .
individuals working	\neg

25X1A

	• •		•
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 14	COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/Clearance	Division/
EVALUATION:		DATE:	I & CB
	÷	-	
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activit	y? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? You force?	esNo	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	-		NoNo
			* _ *
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity other Office?	should be trans	ferred
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ty more effic If so, which	iently? Less co component and wh	stly? y?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable :	in the light of	
			•
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or dis ncy security?	scontinued witho Yes No	yt -
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by 05	S that you feel :	is more

IDENTIFICAT Approved for Release 2002/1907 Pola Rope 3800823 of Security for proce individuals working	R000700020033-30111Ce
That Vidual 5 working	
25X1A	
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 15 COMPONENT: 0	S/PSI/Clearance Division,
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity	? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Ye Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activi	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Ye Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary that can the activity be done more efficiently or les If so, how?	essary? Yes 🕶 No
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity to another OS component or to another Office? If so, where and why?	should be transferred YesNo
Can another component do the activity more effic More properly? Yes No If so, which	iently? Less costly? component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable Watergate? Yes No If so, what?	in the light of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or di significant effect on overall Agency security? If so, how?	Yes No
Is there any activity not now being pursued by 0 important than this one? Yes No If s	S that you feel is more o, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Response	onsible component within the Office Office Office Office
25X1A indiv	viduals working
25X1A	
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 16	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division,
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) fo	r this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still is Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff If so, how?	it is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes No	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	g questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? YesNo
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: 2002/91/07: CHARDP83B0082BR000700020033e3 Office of Security for processing cases on individuals working on a part-time basis at the Credit Union.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 17	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance	Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:	IGCB
		. •
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No	
		-
Do you feel the activity is still : Do (es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more efficient if so, how?	t is still necessary? Vos.	No No
		Y a
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transtated that the contract of the c	sferred
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less of If so, which component and w	ostly? hy?
		· · · ·
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of oo, what?	· •
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued with ency security? Yes No	odt —
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel No If so, what?	is more

IDENTIFICATION PROPERTIES	20021 1107 icle ROP 83800825 Rod 700020033-3 on of Security for processing cases on individuals to be contacted by the
	individuals to be contacted by the
· 25X1A	
· .	
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 1	8 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
EVALUATION.	
What is (are) our reference	(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
	+ill in force? Yes No
Is (are) the reference(s) so Who is (are) the beneficiary	till in force: les No v(ies) of this activity?
who is (are) the beneficial	, (103)
Do you feel the activity is	still necessary? Yes No
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies)	feel it is still necessary? Yes No re efficiently or less costly? Yes No
Can the activity be done mo If so, how?	re elliciently of less costs,
11 30, now.	
Do you feel that responsibi	lity for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component of If so, where and why?	r to another Office? YesNo
II so, where and why.	
Can another component do the	No If so, which component and why?
More Property	
Does the activity include a Watergate? Yes No	If so, what?
Can this activity be reduce significant effect on ove If so, how?	ed in scope/size or discontinued without erall Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not in important than this one?	now being pursued by OS that you feel is more Yes No If so, what?

Approved For Release	2002/13/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020033-3 ^{0111ce} of Security for processing cases on
25X1A	individuals to be used by the
25X1A	<u> </u>
20/(1/(
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 1	
EVALUATION:	IGCB DATE:
Control of the Contro	
What is (are) our reference	(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary	cill in force? Yes No No (ies) of this activity?
Can the activity be done mor	still necessary? Yes No Feel it is still necessary? Yes No Fee efficiently or less costly? Yes No Fee efficiently or less costly?
If so, how?	
Do you feel that responsibil to another OS component or If so, where and why?	ity for the activity should be transferred to another Office? YesNo
Can another component do the	
More properly? Yes N	activity more efficiently? Less costly? o If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include an Watergate? Yes No	<pre>ything questionable in the light of _ If so, what?</pre>
Can this activity be reduced significant effect on over: If so, how?	in scope/size or discontinued without all Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not not important than this one?	w being pursued by OS that you feel is more Yes No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF Approve				
ていっさがアアアアクルでてんご へや	ΑΛΜΥΚΙΤΜΝΑ ΜΕΕ	ian of Com	·mitir commonor	nt rachancible
11153111611411111 116		ice or seci	iriry compone	11 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
TDPMITTICULTON OF	The second of th	**************************************	*******	
Annrove	A LAY DAIASEA 2002/	11 <i>m</i> / · (J& Z D D D B J Z D D D D D D D D	いいういいふるころ
ADDIOVE	u rui nelease zuuzi	1 はなん ことはきないに	このもわけいのをかければいいか	けんといいのうちゃっ
, , , , , , , ,	O O	. שבייט בי בי ליידוע) T 16 T 16 T 17 T	

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 20	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	
Do you feel the activity is still no (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient for how?	is still necessary? Yes No
The state of the s	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? YesNo
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more Nov If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Office of Security component that certifies Approved For Release 2002/11/07 CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020033-3 certifies Clearances throughout the Intelligence Community on Agency employees being

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 21	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? Yes No No This activity?
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes / No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF A Eqr Release 2002/1104070 CIA ROP83B00	823R000700020033-3 notifies ance status of ex-employees.
	og/DCI/Clearance Division/
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 22 COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
	DATE:
EVALUATION:	
	1-2 Doto(s)?
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activi	ty: Date(3):
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this acti	YesNo
WHO IS (ale) the season ,	
	/
	Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Do (es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still in the beneficiary or efficiently or	necessary? Yes No No No No
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still in Can the activity be done more efficiently or If so, how?	1633 (6364)
Do you feel that responsibility for the activ to another OS component or to another Offic If so, where and why?	ity should be transferred e? Yes No
Can another component do the activity more ef More properly? Yes No If so, whi	ficiently? Less costly? ch component and why?
Moro prop	
Does the activity include anything questional Watergate? Yes No If so, what?	ole in the light of
watergate. 105	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or significant effect on overall Agency security	r discontinued without ity? Yes No
If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued important than this one? Yes No	by OS that you feel is more If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020033-3-deword clearances.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 23	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Divis	
EVALUATION:	DATE:	ξCB -
What is (are) our reference(s) for t	his activity? Date(s)?	
		•
Is (are) the reference(s) still in f Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	orce? Yes No No this activity?	
	,	-
Do you feel the activity is still need to (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient of the so, how?	is still necessary? Yes // No	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferre her Office? Yes No	ed.
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No In	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?	•
Does the activity include anything que Watergate? Yes No If so,		
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agence If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without by security? Yes No	
Is there any activity not now being primportant than this one? Yes	oursued by OS that you feel is mo	re

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Office of Security component that processes Approved For Release 2002/11/107keGIAeRDP83BQ0823RQQ07099A2Q038-3on for codeword access by individuals employed by non-USIB agencies.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 24	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes :/ No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2002/11/07 CELASTING 83E00823R00070002003337riage cases.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 25	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division,
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? YesNo f this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still no Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	y more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No If so	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Ager If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without acy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No_V If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 200711/07 CLASTING STORY PROPERTY CLASTING STORY PROPERTY CLASTIC CLASTING STORY PROPERTY CLASTIC CLASTING STORY PROPERTY CLASTIC CL

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 26	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still r Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No 🗸 If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION of For Chelense 2002/11 1/107 e CHA-RDP83P90823R000700020033-3 reviews files of staffers being considered for overseas assignment.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 27	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division,
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for t	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in f Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of Do you feel the activity is still ne Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effici If so, how?	this activity?
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ner Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No If	oo, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything qu Watergate? Yes No If so,	estionable in the light of what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope, significant effect on overall Agency If so, how?	size or discontinued without y security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being puing important than this one? Yes N	irsued by OS that you feel is more If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION Release 2002 PM 607es CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020033-3 requests for all personnel carrying Agency credentials.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 28	COMPONENT		
EVALUATION:	COMPONENT: OS/	PSI/Clearance	Divisio
		DATE:	IĘC
What is (are) our reference(s) for	41. 3.		
	this activity?	Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes f this activity?	No	
Do you feel the activity is still no Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient of So, how?	ecessary? Yes is still necessiently or less c	No Yes Yes ostly? Yes	NoNo
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity sho her Office? Yes	ould be transf	erred
	¥		
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No In	y more efficient f so, which comp	ly? Less cost onent and why:	tly? ?
Does the activity include anything que Watergate? Yes No If so,	destionable in the what?	he light of	
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agency If so, how?	/size or discont y security? Yes	inued without	
Is there any activity not now being puing important than this one? Yes N	irsued by OS tha	t you feel is	more

IDENTIFICATION VETFORTINGSEY2002/11/07 SELA-RI	ppg3BQ0823RQ00700020033-3 firearms
	, II out mo.
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (b), 29 COM	en e
	MPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this	activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of the	e? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still necess Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is s Can the activity be done more efficients	
Can the activity be done more efficientl If so, how?	ly or less costly? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for the to another OS component or to another If so, where and why?	activity should be transferred Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activity mo	
Can another component do the activity moment More properly? Yes No If so	re efficiently? Less costly? , which component and why?
Does the activity include anything question Watergate? Yes No V If so, what	ionable in the light of
Con Alice	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/siz significant effect on overall Agency se If so, how?	ecurity? YesNo
Is there any activity not now being pursu important than this one? Yes No	ea by OS that you feel is more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Reviews files on all Agency personnel Approved For Release 2002/11/071:1CIA-RDF183BD9823R000760025038361ey.

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, A, (b), 30	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for the	is activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in fo Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still neo Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it i Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	s still necessary? Yes 🗸 No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anoth If so, where and why?	he activity should be transferred er Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No V If	more efficiently? Less costly? so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything que Watergate? Yes No V If so,	
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agence If so, how?	/size or discontinued without y security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being p important than this one? Yes	ursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?